SINGLE BENCH

1 (4) of 1002

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION (S) NO. 833 / 2007

PETITIONER

Nisha Lahere
W/o Chandra Bhusan
Aged about 23 years
R/o Gram- Ghota, P.O.- Ghota,
Tehsil- Dhamdha, Dist- Durg (C.G)

P.R. No. 10/5/07
Presented by Shri A.K.S Ahluwalia
dated 5/2/07

Versus

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh
Through Secretary, Department of Women & Child Development, Mantralaya, D.K.S Bhawan, Raipur

2. Collector
Dhamdha, Dist- Durg (C.G)

3. District Women & Child Development Officer Collectorate, Dhamdha, Dist- Durg (C.G)

4. Project Officer (Women & Child Devlopment) Integrated Child Development project, Dhamdha, Dist- Durg (C.G)

5. Smt. Sarojani Bai
W/o Rajulal
R/o- Village Ghota, Tehsil- Dhamdha
Dist- Durg (C.G)

OATH COMMISSIONER
APARNA MALVIYA
CG 2003/05
04/02/07
Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

RECEIVED COPY
5/2/07
CLERK to A. G, BILASPUR

2 (5)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF A SUITABLE WRIT ORDER OF DIRECTION TO DO JUSTICE IN THE MATTER.

(27)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition (s) No. 833 of 2007

Petitioner		Nisha Lahere
	Versus	
Respondents		State of Chhattisgarh & others

SB: Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri, J**

Shri H. S. Ahluwalia, Advocate for the petitioner.

Miss Deepali Pandey, Pandel Lawyer for the respondents No. 1 to 4.

ORDER

(Passed on this 20th day of February, 2007)

1. By this petition, the petitioner challenges the validity of the selection of the respondent No. 5 for appointment on the post of Aanganwadi Worker at village Ghota, District - Durg on the ground that the petitioner is having higher qualification than the respondent No. 5 and one of the family members of the respondent No.5 is in service as Shiksha Karmi therefore the selection of the respondent No.5 is contrary to the circular of the State Government dated 6.1.2006 and 10.4.2006.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has preferential right to the selection and appointment as Anganbadi Worker in Anganbadi Centre Ghota, District- Durg.

3. In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on facts as well as on merit, the Collector, Durg is directed to look into the allegation made by the petitioner and pass appropriate order in accordance with Aanganwadi Scheme and law.

4. The writ petition is accordingly disposed of. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Thakur